IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

2941 N. Franklin Street Philadelphia, PA 19133	: CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	: : No
v.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
MASTERY CHARTER SCHOOL-CLYMER 1201 West Rush Street Philadelphia, PA 19133	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	: :

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action has been initiated by Christina Stewart (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise) against Mastery Charter Elementary School (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant" unless indicated otherwise) for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA" - 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et. seq.) and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA" - 43 P.S. §§ 951 et. seq.). As a direct consequence of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of federal laws. There lies supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's

state-law claims because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as Plaintiff's federal claims asserted herein.

- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in *International Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district, and Defendant is deemed to reside where it is subjected to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendant a resident of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 6. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.
 - 7. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff was employed with Defendant.
- 8. Defendant is a Pennsylvania entity that operates a charter elementary school in Philadelphia.
- 9. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.

10. Defendant is an employer for purposes of the ADA and PHRA has and continues to employ over 15 employees per calendar year for at least the last 5 years, and Defendant engages in a variety of business relationships generating revenue in the education business.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 11. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 12. Plaintiff suffers from bipolar disorder and other cognitive disabilities.
- 13. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant in or about August 2011 as Special Education Paraprofessional.
- 14. In or about May 2012, Plaintiff took a medical leave of absence due to her disabilities (of which Defendant's management was made aware).
- 15. Plaintiff was cleared to return to work on or about September 4, 2012 by her physician(s).
- 16. Upon Plaintiff's return to work, Defendant's management did not allow Plaintiff to return to her original position, but instead presented her with a new proposed employment agreement.
- 17. On or about the day of October 2, 2012, Plaintiff began to have a flare-up and looked for her two immediate supervisors, but was unable locate them.
- 18. Plaintiff informed the assistant principal of operations, one Ben Kohler, that she was not feeling well and that she needed to leave.
- 19. Plaintiff's episode caused her to be unable to continue to work, and she left work early and was hospitalized later that day.

- 20. Plaintiff received a telephone call from the principal, one Merdith Cronk, who accused Plaintiff of abandoning her job, despite that Plaintiff explained that she was unable to stay at work.
- 21. Plaintiff called Defendant's human resources and told them that she would be seeking medical care and admitting herself to the hospital.
- 22. Plaintiff was suspended from work while a decision was made concerning her leaving work early on or about October 2, 2012.
- 23. On or about October 9, 2012, Defendant's management held a meeting with Plaintiff to discuss why she had "quit early."
- 24. Plaintiff explained that her conditions can become so severe that she needs urgent medical care during a flare up, which caused her to leave urgently.
- 25. Despite Plaintiff's explanations, she was presented with both written discipline for leaving work early and a "crisis plan" that outlined what Plaintiff should do if she encountered another episode at work.
- 26. A member of Defendant's human resources department displayed hostility towards Plaintiff's conditions during the meeting by asking her specific questions about her treatment and why she did not have a crisis plan of her own in an accusatory nature.
- 27. Soon after the aforementioned meeting, Plaintiff requested that she be transferred to a different position, specifically, one that did not require her to work with a particular special needs child who suffered from frequent seizures (a reasonable accommodation) but was told that none were available.

- 28. Soon after Plaintiff returned to work following the aforementioned October 9, 2012 meeting, Plaintiff began to experience hostility from Defendant's management including but not limited to pretextual discipline.
- 29. The classroom teacher that Plaintiff worked with asked her why she didn't just quit and find an easier job.
- 30. On or about October 16, 2012, Defendant's management approached Plaintiff about her concerns involving the aforementioned child.
- 31. Plaintiff explained to Defendant's management that she was concerned about working with this particular child because of the risk that could be created during one her episodic flare-ups because this child was so vulnerable (another request for accommodation).
- 32. Defendant's principal, Cronk, denied Plaintiff's accommodation request, stating to Plaintiff that "you contracted to do this job" and that if Plaintiff "if you can't do this job, I'd rather you not work with children at all."
- 33. On or about October 31, 2012, Plaintiff had completed her classroom assignments for the day and all children boarded busses approximately 15 minutes before the end of Plaintiff's scheduled shift.
- 34. The classroom teacher who Plaintiff worked with had told her to "have a nice day" before Plaintiff left work on October 31, 2012.
- 35. Plaintiff rode the bus home with one of the students form her classroom because he was behaving particularly aggressively on that day.
- 36. Plaintiff was confronted on or about November 1, 2012, about leaving work approximately 11 minutes early the prior day.
 - 37. Plaintiff was terminated approximately one day after she had left work.

- 38. Plaintiff was told that she was terminated for unsatisfactory work performance.
- 39. The first incident of written discipline that Defendant provided to Plaintiff under the agreement was directly related to her disabilities and her needs for time off. Although Defendant's management provided Plaintiff with a "crisis plan" for the future, she was still given written discipline.
- 40. Defendant's management produced the last incident of written discipline to Plaintiff in response to her leaving work 11 minutes early on a day when all her work was already completed, and she was terminated the next day.
- 41. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that Defendant's proffered reason for termination was entirely pretextual and Plaintiff was actually terminated because of disabilities and/or in retaliation for her requests for accommodations.

COUNT I <u>Violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA", as amended)</u> ([1] Discrimination; [2] Failure to Accommodate; [3] Retaliation)

- 42. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 43. Plaintiff properly exhausted her administrative remedies before proceeding in this Court for violations of the ADA by timely filing a Charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and by filing the instant Complaint within 90 days of receiving a notice of case closure and/or right-to-sue letter.
- 44. Plaintiff's termination was less than one month after she suffered a significant episode at work and was required to leave for the day (while Defendant's management was aware of Plaintiff's known disabilities).

- 45. Plaintiff was terminated from Defendant because of: (1) her known health conditions; (2) her perceived health conditions; and/or (3) due to her record of impairment.
- 46. Defendant terminated Plaintiff only approximately two to three weeks after Plaintiff requested medical accommodations from Defendant.
- 47. Plaintiff was also terminated in retaliation for requesting medical accommodations from Defendant.
- 48. Plaintiff made clear requests for medical accommodations (infrequent time off from work and a less stressful and disability-triggering assignment) but was refused any interactive process from Defendant (or reasonable consideration of her requests).
- 49. These actions as aforesaid constitute unlawful discrimination, retaliation, and failure to accommodate under the ADA.

COUNT II <u>Violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA")</u> (Disability Discrimination/Retaliation)

- 50. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 51. Plaintiff re-asserts and re-alleges each and every assertion as set forth in Count I of this Complaint, as such actions constitute identical violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

A. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for

Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay

increases, bonuses, insurance, benefits, training, promotions, reinstatement, and seniority.

B. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive or liquidated damages, as permitted by

applicable law, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish

Defendant for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or

other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;

C. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just,

proper, and appropriate (including but not limited to damages for emotional distress / pain and

suffering);

D. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable

attorney's fees as provided by applicable federal and state law.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esq. 3331 Street Road

Two-Greenwood-Square

Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: February 4, 2014

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

	FAX Number	r E-Mail Address	
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-4970	akarpf@karpf-law.	com
Date	Attorney-at-l	aw Attorney for	
2/4/2014	Ari R. Karpf	Plaintiff	
(f) Standard Management	t - Cases that do not fa	all into any one of the other tracks.	(X)
management cases.)	e side of this form for	a detailed explanation of special	()
commonly referred to	as complex and that n	l into tracks (a) through (d) that are seed special or intense management by	
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	lving claims for perso	onal injury or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration - Cases rea	quired to be designate	d for arbitration under Local Civil Rule	53.2. ()
(b) Social Security – Case and Human Services d		f a decision of the Secretary of Health l Security Benefits.	()
(a) Habeas Corpus - Case	es brought under 28 U	S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CASI	E MANAGEMENT TRACKS:	
filing the complete a complete a complete a complete and se side of this form.) In the designation, that defendent the plaintiff and all other to which that defendant be	ivil Justice Expense a Case Management Tra cave a copy on all defence event that a defendant shall, with its first apparties, a Case Managelieves the case should		at the time of in the reverse garding said
CHRISTINA STEWA	ART	CIVIL ACTI	ON
CHRISTINA STEWA		: CIVIL ACTI : :	ON

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of			
Address of Plaintiff: 2941 N. Franklin Street, Philadelphia, PA 19	133			
Address of Defendant: 1201 West Rush Street, Philadelphia, PA 19	133			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's place of business (Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)			
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation				
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))) Yes \(\sigma_{\text{No}}\) \(\text{M}\)			
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yeso No 🗓			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one.	toon manipus the terminated auticular to the			
1. 15 this case totaled to properly mended in an earlier funitioned suit pending of within one	Yes No			
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	suit pending or within one year previously terminated			
action in this court?	v D D			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	Yes□ No□ numbered case pending or within one year previously			
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No□			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigi	<u>_</u>			
	Yes□ No□			
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases;			
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts			
2. D FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury			
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation			
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury			
5. D Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury			
6. D Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)			
7. 🛱 Civil Rights	7. Products Liability			
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability Asbestos			
9. Decurities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases			
10. U Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
11. All other Federal Question Cases	(r lease specify)			
(Please specify)				
ARBITRATION CERT				
I, Ari R. Karpf , counsel of record do hereby cert	fv:			
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of			
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
DATE: 2/4/2014	ARK2484			
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# 91538 ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court			
DATE: 2/4/2014 Attorney-at-Law	ARK2484 Attorney l.D.# 01520			
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	Attorney 1.D.# 91538			

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

Print

Save As...

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REV	ERSE OF THE FORMI.)		DEFENDANTS			
STEWART, CHRISTINA			MASTERY CHARTER SCHOOL-CLYMER				
(-) -	·	Philadelphia		County of Residence o	of First Listed Defendant	Philadelphia	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address) Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti, P.C., 3331 Street Road, Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128, Bensalem, PA 19020, (215) 639-0801, akarpf@karpf-law.com				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	III. CI	L ITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	FF DEF 1	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State	2	Principal Place	
Determant	(Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)		•	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	Another State □ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box O	nly)		reign Country			
						ARRESTOR OF OTHER PROPERTY OF STREET	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	□ 510 Motions to Vacas Sentence Habeas Corpus: □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Of □ 550 Civil Rights		10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 10 R.R. & Truck 30 Airline Regs. 30 Occupational Safety/Health 30 Other 31 Labor Standards Act 40 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 40 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 40 Other Labor Litigation 41 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act 42 Naturalization Application 43 Habeas Corpus Alien Detainee 45 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts	
1 Original 2 R	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reor	pened speci		Appeal to District rict 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of ca	ause:		" 42USC12101" Iuman Relations	Act "PHR A"		
VII. REQUESTED IN	☐ CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.	. 23			JURY DEMAND:	Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	JUDGE		·	DOCKET NUMBER		
Z A ZOLA		SICNATURE	E ATTEM	RNEY OF RECORD			
ACAD A MARKATAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	····		·	DI OF RECORD	·		

Export as PDF

Retrieve PDF File

Reset